



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,252	03/24/2004	Paul E. Persons	SPV-049.02	4220	
25181	7590 02/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY HOAG, LLP			RAYMOND,	RAYMOND, RICHARD L	
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02110			1624		
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/808,252	PERSONS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Richard L. Raymond	1624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be also within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDX	to e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18,37 and 38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
• =	Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-18,37 and 38</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Burea	iu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/25/04</u> .	6)  Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/808,252 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

1. The drawings filed March 24, 2004 are accepted as formal drawings by the Examiner.

## Obviousness-type Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-18, 37 and 38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of parent U.S. Patent No. 6,713,479. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compounds of the two sets of claims overlap. Since the same specifications are involved, the underlying species are also the same. Thus the patent claims both anticipate and render obvious the present claims.

Art Unit: 1624

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number is (571) 272-0673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 10:00 AM-8:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571) 272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3800

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/ Richard L. Raymo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

rr February 3, 2005